

## OPINION SUMMARY

### MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

MICHAEL D. HURST, Appellant,	)	No. ED96636
	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court of
vs.	)	St. Louis County
	)	
STATE OF MISSOURI, Respondent.	)	Honorable Robert S. Cohen
	)	Filed: November 8, 2011

Michael D. Hurst (Movant) appeals from the judgment of the Circuit Court of St. Louis County denying his Rule 74.06(b)(4) motion to vacate the judgment denying his Rule 29.15 motion for post-conviction relief.

AFFIRMED.

Division Four Holds: A failure to comply with Rule 29.15(j) with respect to issuance of findings of fact and conclusions of law on all issues presented does not either deprive the motion court of subject matter jurisdiction or the movant of due process of law. Rule 74.06(b)(4) is not a proper vehicle to challenge a Rule 29.15 motion court's failure to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law sufficient to allow meaningful appellate review.

Opinion by: Patricia L. Cohen, P.J.  
Robert M. Clayton III, J., and George W. Draper III, Sp.J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Michael D. Hurst (pro se)

Attorney for Respondent: Shaun J. Mackelprang

<p><b>THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.</b></p>
---